Effect of asbestos on lipid peroxidation in the red cells
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Effect of asbestos on lipid peroxidation in the red cells. In vitro exposure of red cells to five
International Union against Cancer (UICC) standard reference asbestos samples resulted
in an increase of thiobarbituric acid substances. Chrysotiles developed the largest amounts
of lipid peroxides, followed by anthophyllite, amosite, and crocidolite in decreasing order.
Compared with the control samples, erythrocytes free of dusts, all types of the asbestos
examined disclosed significant differences. The results obtained provide support for the
cytotoxic potential of amosite and crocidolite and, on the other hand, suggest that a lipid
peroxidation of unsaturated fatty acids may be involved in the mechanism(s) of membrane-
damaging effects of asbestos dusts.

Several reports indicate that asbestos dusts alter the
permeability of the cellular membrane. However,
the results obtained by haemolytic tests and macro-
phage cultures are somewhat contradictory. Secchi
and Rezzonico (1968), Szentei (1969), Harington,
Miller, and McNab (1971), and Schnitzer and Pund-
sack (1970) have demonstrated a strong haemolytic
activity of chrysotile asbestos compared with amphi-
bole asbestos, amosite, and crocidolite. It has been
suggested that the action of asbestos dusts is mediated
through membrane and cytoplasmic enzymes. Secchi and Rezzonico (1968) related the strong
lytic activity of chrysotile asbestos to its adsorptive
capacity for the erythrocyte membrane enzyme
acetylholinesterase. Koshi, Hayashi, and Sakabe
(1968), by measuring acid phosphatase and produc-
tion of lactic acid in macrophages, showed the
different toxic effects of asbestos samples of different
origin. Beck, Holt, and Manojlovic (1972) did not
observe a depression of the lactic acid in chrysotile-
treated macrophages but there was an increased
number of cells with erythrosin and higher activity
of the cytoplasmic enzyme lactate dehydrogenase.
However, Parazzi, Pernis, Secchi, and Vigliani
(1968) found a depression in the production of
enzymes in crocidolite and chrysotile incubated
macrophage cultures. A sample of crocidolite was
more toxic than chrysotile. In an earlier study
performed by the same team (Pernis, Vigliani,
Marchisic, and Zanardi, 1966), crocidolite was
found to be non-toxic. By investigating the effects of
dusts on peritoneal macrophages by three different
biochemical methods (TTC-test, nigrosine, and
oxygen consumption), Robock and Klosterkötter
(1973) concluded that chrysotile had the stronger
cytotoxic action compared to crocidolite. In Allison’s
(1971) experiments chrysotile was found to be the
most cytotoxic, followed by crocidolite, amosite,
and anthophyllite.

The variability of the experimental results can be
explained by the existing great differences between
the asbestos samples in composition and in their
mineralogical, physical, and chemical characteris-
tics. The use of UICC standard samples of asbestos
is therefore recommended for experimental purposes
(Timrell, Gibson, and Webster, 1968).

In spite of the different opinions regarding the
biological response of the various types of asbestos
dusts, there is general agreement concerning their
action on membrane permeability. As regards the
biochemical reactions which might affect membrane
stability, the damaging effects of lipid peroxides
induced by certain noxious agents is a well recognized phenomenon (Recknagel and Goshal Amiya, 1966; Goldstein, Lodi, Collison, and Balchum, 1969; Hanstein and Hatefi, 1970; Wills, 1971; Chvapil, Ryan, and Zukoski, 1972; Bidlak and Tappel, 1973).

In our previous work (Gabor, Frits, Anca, and Zugravu, 1971; Gabor and Anca, 1974) with silica dusts we noted an increased rate of lipid peroxidation in vivo in the silicotic lung and in vitro dust-treated red cells.

Taking these findings as a starting point, the experiment reported here aimed to determine the lipid peroxides occurring in erythrocytes exposed to asbestos dusts.

Materials and methods

Five UICC standard reference asbestos samples were used—two chrysotiles (A and B) of the serpentine group, and three types of the amphibole group, an amosite, a crocidolite, and an anthophyllite.

Preparation of erythrocyte suspension

Human erythrocytes were used. They were collected from healthy donors in citrate-isotonic saline solution. The plasma and buffy coat were removed after centrifugation at 1 500 rev/min. The red cells were washed four times with veronal-buffered saline (pH 7-4).

Preparation of asbestos samples

The samples of each asbestos dust were suspended in veronal-buffered saline (pH 7-4) to which a surface wetting substance (RBS 25) had been added (0-1 ml).

Haemolysis test

Mixtures of 1 ml of dust suspension (13 mg asbestos) and 6 ml 8% erythrocyte suspension were incubated for one hour in a 37°C water-bath. During the incubation period the content of the test-tubes was gently shaken at 10-minute intervals. In the control samples (consisting of 6 ml 8% erythrocyte suspension and 0-1 ml RBS 25) complete haemolysis was obtained by freezing and thawing.

Lipid peroxidation assay

Lipid peroxides were determined by the thiobarbituric acid method (TBA) which provided a measure of a malonaldehyde, one of the major products resulting from the peroxidation of unsaturated fatty acids (Wills, 1971). Aliquots of 6 ml incubation mixtures were treated with 3 ml 20% trichloracetic acid and centrifuged for 10 minutes. A proportion of supernatant was added to an equal volume of aqueous 0-76% 2-thiobarbituric acid, then heated in a boiling water-bath for 10 minutes and cooled to room temperature. The coloured product was extracted with 4 ml cyclohexanone and measured in a spectrophotometer at 535 nm. The results were expressed as extinction values. In order to ascertain whether the lipid peroxides (i.e., the malonaldehyde formation) were intimately associated with erythrocyte membrane (haemoglobin-free erythrocyte ghosts), or if they were released into the supernatant, the erythrocyte suspension was centrifuged and the lipid peroxides were determined separately in the supernatant solution and in the three times washed precipitate.

Results and discussion

Data showing the action of asbestos dusts on the lipid peroxidation of erythrocytes are given in the Table.

As seen in the Table, different types of asbestos dusts developed an increased rate of lipid peroxides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIPID PEROXIDATION OF THE RED BLOOD CELLS BY DIFFERENT ASBESTOS DUSTS (UICC SAMPLES)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Fractions examined</th>
<th>Lipid peroxides TBA reactants absorbance 535 nm ± SD</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>t Test</th>
<th>Significance p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (complete haemolysis of red cells by freezing and thawing) n = 20</td>
<td>Supernatant</td>
<td>0-020 ± 0-002</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>&lt;0-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precipitate</td>
<td>0-014 ± 0-002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysotile A n = 10</td>
<td>Supernatant</td>
<td>0-058 ± 0-004</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;0-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precipitate</td>
<td>0-029 ± 0-002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysotile B n = 10</td>
<td>Supernatant</td>
<td>0-052 ± 0-005</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>&lt;0-001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precipitate</td>
<td>0-028 ± 0-001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthophyllite n = 10</td>
<td>Supernatant</td>
<td>0-048 ± 0-015</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6-2</td>
<td>&lt;0-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precipitate</td>
<td>0-022 ± 0-001</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;0-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0-035 ± 0-006</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;0-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amosite n = 10</td>
<td>Supernatant</td>
<td>0-030 ± 0-001</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>&lt;0-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precipitate</td>
<td>0-007 ± 0-002</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;0-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crocidolite n = 10</td>
<td>Supernatant</td>
<td>0-027 ± 0-002</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;0-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precipitate</td>
<td>0-023 ± 0-003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = number of experiments

1Percentage increase related to the control sample.
in the red blood cells, either in the supernatant or in the haemoglobin-free erythrocyte precipitates, compared to the control sample (complete haemolysis without dust). The highest levels of lipid peroxides were formed in erythrocytes incubated with serpentine asbestos (chrysotile A and B). Amphibole asbestos, anthophyllite, notably amosite and crocidolite, induced lipid peroxidation to a lesser degree.

Our findings agree with the results reported by other authors (Harington et al., 1971; Robock and Klosterkötter, 1973) regarding the most effective cytotoxic action of serpentine asbestos-chrysotiles. When we consider the relation between the percentage of lipid peroxide levels recovered in the supernatant and precipitate (haemoglobin-free erythrocyte ghosts) and the control samples, the fact that amosite and crocidolite have developed an increased rate of lipid peroxides in the precipitate, i.e., in the erythrocyte membrane, is of particular interest. As can be seen in the Table, the percentage of increasing lipid peroxides was 114 for amosite in the precipitate compared with 75% in the supernatant; for crocidolite the figures were 64% in the precipitate and 35% in the supernatant. This high rate of lipid peroxidation closely associated with the membrane shows that amosite and crocidolite are not entirely devoid of cytotoxic potential though they have been considered inactive as lytic agents against erythrocytes.

From the results presented here we may conclude that one of the various mechanisms by which asbestos dusts might exert their cytotoxic action includes lipid peroxidation. This conclusion is also supported by Allison's (1971) previous observations regarding the development of brown pigmented autofluorescent granules in cytoplasm in asbestos-treated cells, suggesting an involvement of lipid peroxidation.

We are grateful to Dr. V. Timbrell, of the Pneumococcosis Research Unit, Llandough Hospital, Penarth, for making available the UICC standard asbestos reference samples.
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