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Tola, S., Hernberg, S., Asp, S., and Nikkanen, J. (1973). British Journal of Industrial Medicine, 30, 134-141. Parameters indicative of absorption and biological effect in new lead exposure: a prospective study. Determinations have been made of the blood and urinary lead concentrations (Pb-B, Pb-U), haemoglobin concentrations (Hb), packed blood cell volumes (Hcr), urinary δ-aminolevulinic acid (ALA-U) and coproporphyrin concentrations (CP-U), as well as erythrocyte δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase activities (ALA-D), in respect of 33 workers entering occupational lead exposure for the first time in their lives. In a follow-up study lasting from one to four months samples were taken before exposure began, initially at intervals of two days and subsequently at intervals of one to two weeks. Nine control subjects were studied for Pb-B once, and for Hb, Hcr, and ALA-D weekly.

The Pb-B rose and the ALA-D activity fell with no demonstrable time lag, while the latency periods of ALA-U, Pb-U, and CP-U were about two weeks. All of these laboratory tests reached a steady state during the follow-up.

ALA-D showed the highest correlation to Pb-B and proved to be the most sensitive indicator of lead effect. Both CP-U and ALA-U were useful indicators of the effect of lead upon the organism, although a stepwise regression analysis, and their correlation coefficients with Pb-B, led to the conclusion that CP-U had better explaining power than ALA-U.

The mean Hb and Hcr values were significantly lower at the end of the observation period than at the beginning, providing an indication that anaemia begins to develop early in the course of lead absorption.

The relative value of various laboratory tests for the diagnosis and prevention of lead poisoning has aroused debate. The opinion today is that the concentration of lead in the blood (Pb-B) is superior to that in the urine (Pb-U) in the reflection of current exposure, while the amount of coproporphyrin (CP-U) or δ-aminolevulinic acid (ALA-U) excreted into the urine best reflects the biological response (Gibson, MacKenzie, and Goldberg, 1968; Zielhuis, 1971; Haeger-Aronsen, 1971). These tests have gradually replaced the counting of stippled erythrocytes or reticulocytes in examinations of lead workers. However, another classical test, the haemoglobin value (Hb), is still commonly applied for both preventive and diagnostic purposes, although it is nonspecific and displays a poor correlation, if any, with other 'lead tests' (Williams, 1966).

No agreement has yet been reached on the relative merits of ALA-U and CP-U. Most authors recommend the former by reason of its greater sensitivity and specificity (Cramér and Selander, 1965; Haeger-Aronsen, 1971) but some prefer the latter (Williams, King, and Walford, 1969; Waldron, 1971). However, all of these opinions are based upon cross-sectional
studies. Such studies do not consider the reproducibility of the tests, or their behaviour at an early stage in the course of exposure.

The finding that erythrocyte δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase (ALA-D) is inhibited in lead exposure (Lichtman and Feldman, 1963) has recently led to the development of a new exposure test, which appears to possess some advantages over ALA-U and CP-U. First of all, the degree of inhibition bears a close correlation to the concomitant Pb-B (Hernberg et al., 1970; Millar et al., 1970; Haas et al., 1971; Haeger-Aronsen, Abdulla, and Fristedt, 1971; Weissberg, Lipschutz, and Oski, 1971). Secondly, the test appears to be practically specific for lead; the only other agent reported to induce a significant diminution in ALA-D activity is ethyl alcohol (Moore et al., 1971). Nevertheless, large doses are required, and the inhibition lasts for only a short time. Thirdly, ALA-D is far more sensitive than any other test (de Bruin and Hoolboom, 1967; de Bruin, 1968; Hernberg et al., 1969). The sensitivity of the ALA-D test renders it useful at low exposure levels such as those that occur in the general urban environment. On the other hand, this property may limit its use in industrial hygiene, as has been pointed out by some authors (de Bruin, 1968; Milić, Stanković, and Delić, 1970; Pferovská and Teisinger, 1970; Kehoe, 1971). Modification in the conditions of assay can, however, result in lowering of the sensitivity (Nikkanen, Hernberg, and Tola, 1972).

The lack of information from longitudinal, comparative studies on the most important parameters indicative of lead absorption and effect made it appear worth while to choose a new approach, a prospective study of new lead workers entering exposure for the first time in their lives. The results of this study are reported here.

**Material and methods**

**Exposure**

All the workers studied were employed in two storage-battery plants. In some departments the level of hygiene was poor. Air samplings made at the end of the observation period showed concentrations of up to 2 mg, 3 mg, or even 4 mg of lead per cubic metre of air in the work areas with the poorest hygiene. The use of personal protective equipment was common. The yearly incidence rate of clinical lead poisoning had been from 5 to 10%.

A detailed description of the exposure has been published elsewhere (Tola et al., 1971).

**Subjects**

Before employment began all the subjects were examined with a view to the exclusion of previous lead exposure and diseases that could affect interpretation of the data obtained. The first sampling (0-sample) was made in this connection. Five subjects had to be excluded by reason of previous lead exposure, but none because of disease. The number of subjects so selected was 33 (31 men and 2 women); their mean age was 25 (SD 7) years. Nine subjects were engaged in work with presumed heavy exposure (work at the lead oxide mill, paste mixing, pasting, and plate-drying), 19 in work with moderate exposure (casting, burning, and assembling), and five in work with presumed slight exposure (forming and repair). Nine healthy, unexposed men (mean age 24, SD 5, years) served as controls for the ALA-D, haemoglobin, and haematocrit determinations. These parameters were studied in accordance with the sampling scheme described below. In the control group, Pb-B was measured only at the first sampling, except in respect of one man whose Pb-B was analysed weekly. ALA-U and CP-U were not measured for the controls.

**Design**

The original plan involved starting the sampling scheme before the beginning of exposure (day 0). Subsequent samples would then be taken on the second, fourth, and sixth days of exposure, followed by weekly samplings until the end of the third month, and two additional sample at two-week intervals during the fourth and last month.

However, it proved impracticable to follow this scheme rigorously. First of all, weekends without exposure during the first six-day period disturbed the alternate-day schedule for most subjects. Secondly, on occasion minor ailments, necessitating a few days' sick leave, or public holidays meant longer intervals than had been planned. Thirdly, the drop-out of subjects reduced the cohort so that only 18 subjects remained for more than 70 days (maximum 134 days), nine were available for 30 to 70 days, and six for less than 30 days (minimum 10 days). In only one case was lack of cooperation the reason for drop-out. In 10 cases the worker transferred to other employment, and in six cases the follow-up had to be stopped when holidays interrupted exposure. In two subjects Pb-B rapidly increased above the recommended levels, and the concentration of ALA-U and CP-U in the urine indicated incipient poisoning. Here we had to recommend immediate transfer to work without exposure.

In three cases the first sample was not acquired until a few days after the beginning of exposure. Nevertheless, as there had been no previous history of exposure, they were accepted for follow-up.

**Laboratory methods**

Sampling was always performed between 2 p.m. and 4 p.m. To avoid errors arising from inaccurate collection of 24-hour urine samples, use was made of spot samples voided at the time of blood sampling. The afternoon has been recommended as the most suitable time for spot samples by Molyneux (1964). Blood samples were drawn from a cubital vein into heparinized test tubes, which had been rendered lead-free by being washed with 10% nitric acid and redistilled water. This method of washing was used also for the urine flasks.

Pb-B and Pb-U were determined from duplicate samples by means of atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Perkin-Elmer 303), according to the method of Hessel (1968). The method error from duplicate measurements of Pb-B was ± 2.1 μg/100 ml and of Pb-U ± 3.2 μg/l.

Erythrocyte ALA-D activity was estimated according
to our modification (Nikkanen et al., 1972) of the method of Bonsignore, Calissano, and Cartasegna (1965). All the analyses were begun within three hours of sampling. The results are expressed as µmol porphobilinogen (PBG) formed during one hour’s incubation at 37°C, per litre of packed red blood cells, as estimated from the previously determined haematocrit value, or µmol PBG per hour per 1 RBC.

Blood haemoglobin (Hb) was determined by the standard method after conversion to cyamethaemoglobin. The haematocrit value (Hcr) was measured with an Adams Autocrit centrifuge model CT 2900; duplicate samples were spun for 4 minutes at 15-500 g.

ALA-U was determined by the method of Grabeki, Haduch, and Urbanowicz (1967).

CP was extracted from the urine by Askevold’s (1951) method. Coproporphyrinogen was oxidized (Schwartz, Zieve, and Watson, 1951), and the calculations were made by application of the formula given by Rimington and Sveinsson (1950) and amended by With (1955).

The CP-U and Pb-U values were corrected to a specific gravity of 1-024, according to Levine and Fahy (1945). The corrected values were used for comparison with other tests as the correlations with Pb-B were superior to those without correction (CP-U, r = 0-54 and 0-48; Pb-U, r = 0-58 and 0-49).

No correction was applied for ALA-U, as Cramér and Selander (1967) and Häger-Aronsen (1970) have demonstrated that this is unnecessary.

### Statistical methods

The group levels of Pb-B, ALA-D, ALA-U, CP-U, and Pb-U were determined by calculation of the means and standard deviations in different time groups at the beginning of the exposure with intervals of five days, and later at intervals of 10 days. The distribution of these laboratory values was skewed, and accordingly logarithmic transformation was used. In the final phase, in order to eliminate some non-essential zigzagging from the curves, the means and mean ± standard deviations were smoothed by the moving average technique. The smoothed value of a group was calculated by summing the value of the previous group, the value of the group itself, and the value of the following group. The value of the group itself was weighted by 2 and the values of the neighbouring groups by 1; the weighted sum was divided by 4. All the tests and correlation coefficients, however, have been calculated with the unsmoothed values. For Hb and Hcr values, simple arithmetic means and standard deviations could be employed.

To study the intercorrelations between Pb-B, ALA-D, ALA-U, CP-U, and Hb, within-subject correlations were calculated separately for each subject. ALA-D was transformed logarithmically.

The correlation coefficients between these tests for all the subjects were also calculated by consideration of all the values of each subject at different points in the calculations. In view of the possibility of curvilinear relationships between Pb-B and ALA-U (Selander and Cramér, 1970; Müller and Holzapfel, 1971), and Pb-B and CP-U (Zielhuis, 1971), logarithmic values for ALA-U and CP-U were also employed in these calculations.

The possibility of improvement in the predictive capacity of the other tests for Pb-B, by combination of information derived from several laboratory tests, was examined by forward stepwise regression analysis (Draper and Smith, 1966). All the observed values of each laboratory test were given to the computer, and the fact that they were successive readings of the same subjects was not taken into account in the analysis. Pb-B was the dependent variable, while exposure time, ALA-D, InALA-D, ALA-U, CP-U, and Pb-U, their squares, and the products of time and these test values, were independent variables. The following equations were derived:

1. If time was included in the analysis as an obligatory independent variable

Model: $$y = a + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2^2 + b_3x_3^3 + b_4x_4^4$$

where: $$y = \text{Pb-B}$$
$$x_1 = \text{time}$$
$$x_2 = \text{CP-U}$$
$$x_3 = \text{ALA-D}$$
$$x_4 = \text{lnALA-D}$$

R = 0-784

residual deviation = 9-3

Coefficients:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>57-441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_1</td>
<td>0-451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_2</td>
<td>-0-00345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_3</td>
<td>0-0164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_4</td>
<td>0-0000217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_5</td>
<td>0-000167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_6</td>
<td>-1-0196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_7</td>
<td>6-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. If time was not one of the independent variables in the analysis

Model: $$y = a + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + b_3x_3^3 + b_4x_4^4$$

where: $$y = \text{Pb-B}$$
$$x_1 = \text{CP-U}$$
$$x_2 = \text{Pb-U}$$
$$x_3 = \text{lnALA-D}$$

R = 0-778

residual deviation = 9-5

Coefficients:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>46-203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_1</td>
<td>0-00567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_2</td>
<td>0-1564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_3</td>
<td>-0-000221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_4</td>
<td>-0-6308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_5</td>
<td>6-47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results

All the parameters studied displayed the expected behaviour after the beginning of exposure, i.e., ALA-D activity fell and Pb-B, Pb-U, CP-U, and ALA-U rose (Figs. 1 and 2). However, some interesting differences were evident: Pb-B and ALA-D reacted after only a few days of exposure. The values attained a steady state after some two months, ALA-D a little sooner than Pb-B. The rapid reaction of ALA-D upon increased lead absorption confirms that this parameter is the most sensitive one. In fact, even after five days ALA-D activity had declined to less than one half of its original value. The stability of the corresponding values of the controls (Fig. 1)
demonstrates that this is not attributable to methodological errors.

In contrast to Pb-B and ALA-D, it was about two weeks before a measurable increase became apparent in the excretion of ALA-U and CP-U (Fig. 2). By that time, the corresponding Pb-B had risen to over 40 µg/100 ml. Pb-U followed Pb-B more closely. Figure 3 illustrates the effect of the rising Pb-B upon ALA-D, Pb-U, CP-U, and ALA-U.

It is apparent from Table 1 that a slight fall occurred in the mean haemoglobin value in the exposed group. The mean of the individual differences between the first and last values differed statistically significantly from zero (t = 4.94, p < 0.001). No such difference was observed in the control group. Moreover, the haematocrit fell significantly towards the end of the follow-up (t = 6.86, p < 0.001). However, also in the control group a slight difference was seen between the first and the last values (t = 2.46, p < 0.05). More detailed examination of the serial measurements showed a time trend in the exposed group (Table 1) but only random variation in the control group. Thus the fall in the haematocrit value in the former group was probably a true effect; however, evaluation is rendered difficult by the within-subject variation of the haematocrit being even more pronounced than the supposed effect.

Whereas the sensitivity of the various tests can be studied by direct observation of the results, investigation of their reliability calls for more complicated mathematical operations. Reliability is here defined as the most accurate reflection of the intensity of exposure, as expressed by Pb-B, which contains a requirement for a variation as small as possible. The operating procedure involved calculation of the correlations between Pb-B and the other parameters, both within the same subject and within the pooled material. In addition, a forward stepwise regression analysis was made for selection of the best combination of tests to predict Pb-B. As this was done principally for practical purposes, the logarithmic values of ALA-U and CP-U were not included (Zielhuis, 1971). It needs to be stressed here that these statistical operations
FIG. 3. Smoothed averages of ALA-D activities, lead, coproporphyrin, and ALA concentrations in urine within each time group plotted against blood lead concentration in logarithmic scales, showing the relative different behaviour of these tests with a rise in blood lead.

alone should not be used for medical conclusions as some of the parameters measure absorption and other responses.

Of all the relationships tested, the natural logarithm of ALA-D displayed the highest correlation with Pb-B. Its within-subject correlation coefficients were all markedly negative and had a fairly narrow range (−0.99 to −0.59). The corresponding correlations for Pb-U, CP-U, ALA-U, and Hb were less stable, and their within-subject correlations varied from strongly negative to strongly positive.

Correlations calculated from the pooled whole material conform with those obtained within single subjects; lnALA-D had the highest, and Hb the lowest, correlation with Pb-B (Tables 2 to 8). ALA-D and lnALA-D also displayed fairly good correlation with the other tests; their correlation coefficients were of the same magnitude as those of Pb-B (Tables 2 to 8). In regard to the urine tests, Pb-U had the highest correlation with both Pb-B and ALA-D, while ALA-U had the lowest. The logarithmic transformation of CP-U and ALA-U slightly improved the correlation, InCP-U having the same correlation coefficient to Pb-B as Pb-U. Although the correlation coefficient of lnALA-U to Pb-B was superior to that without logarithmic transformation, ALA-U still remained the poorest of the urine tests studied (Table 2). All the urine tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pb-U</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALA-D</td>
<td>−0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InALA-D</td>
<td>−0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALA-U</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnALA-U</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP-U</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InCP-U</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2

Correlation Coefficients between Pb-B and Other Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pb-U</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALA-D</td>
<td>−0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InALA-D</td>
<td>−0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALA-U</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnALA-U</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP-U</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InCP-U</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 1

Arithmetic Means of Hb and Hct in Different Time Periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (days)</th>
<th>Haemoglobin (g/100 ml)</th>
<th>Haematocrit %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-99</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
displayed a fairly high mutual correlation (Tables 5 to 7). However, exact levels of statistical significance for these coefficients cannot be determined since the calculations have been performed on data involving successive readings on the same subject.

The forward stepwise regression analysis did not select ALA-U at any of its steps. If time was an obligate variate in the analysis, lnALAl-D, time, and its square were the most powerful variables in the model. CP-U was next in order. CP-U² and ALA-D² improved the regression, although statistically they were not so significant as time and lnALAl-D².

If time was omitted from the analysis, Pb-U had the greatest explaining power; lnALAl-D² and Pb-U² were next in order, and CP-U was weakest, while ALA-U did not improve the correlation at all. The regression was about as significant as in the model which included time (R = 0.778 without time in the model, and R = 0.784 with time as an obligate variate), which indicates that if Pb-B is to be predicted from the above parameters, it is unnecessary to know the duration of exposure.

By way of summary, it may be said that all the statistical procedures employed selected ALA-D from the parameters indicating response as being the most reliable reflector of Pb-B. CP-U came second, followed by ALA-U and Hb.

Discussion
A prospective design adds a new dimension to the study of the relative merits, such as sensitivity and reliability, of the various parameters applied to the evaluation of lead absorption and effect. In this respect, the first week's exposure are of particular interest, since the time sequence of the reaction of the different tests during this period is a good indicator of their relative sensitivity. Moreover, following the subjects for some time by periodic sampling provides
a fairly good estimate of the relative reliability of the tests.

If Pb-B is considered to be the best criterion of absorption (Williams et al., 1968 and 1969; Holmqvist, 1969), all the statistical procedures employed clearly showed that the ALA-D assay was the most reliable test of lead effect. CP-U came second, followed by ALA-U and Hb.

Pb-U reflected Pb-B fairly well, as was to be expected from the previous cross-sectional studies (Williams et al., 1968 and 1969). Pb-U can thus be regarded as a useful test of lead absorption also at the beginning of exposure. However, it is not so suitable as Pb-B, as numerous factors other than the degree of lead absorption alone influence the urinary excretion of lead, such as renal function, fluid intake, and specific gravity of the urine (Levine and Faby, 1945; Molyneux, 1964; Malcolm, 1965; Ellis, 1966). As was pointed out above in the description of the results, these statistical operations alone are not necessarily of practical medical significance. Aspects such as the sampling procedures, the effect of storage and so on are certainly of greater importance than slight statistical differences. Furthermore, the high degree of sensitivity of ALA-D may be a disadvantage, as has been pointed out by de Bruin (1968), Pferovská and Teisinger (1970), and Kehoe (1971). The favourable conclusions drawn here in regard to ALA-D may be attributable in part to the relative lowness of the Pb-B values; this naturally limits the impact of the observations made in this work under conditions of moderate or slight exposure.

The close relationship between Pb-B and the depression of ALA-D activity has already been demonstrated on several occasions and does not call for further comment (Hernberg et al., 1970 and 1972; Haas et al., 1971; Haeger-Aronsen et al., 1971). In this context it is interesting to note that the depression of ALA-D activity occurred with no demonstrable time lag. In contrast, the first effect upon CP-U and ALA-U was not evident until the Pb-B exceeded about 40 μg/100 ml. These results confirm beyond doubt that ALA-D is a more sensitive indicator of lead effect than CP-U or ALA-U, and that the last-mentioned are almost equally sensitive (Fig. 3; Table 2). On a comparison of ALA-U and CP-U the present results favour CP-U. However, the method applied here for the determination of ALA-U (Grabbecki et al., 1967) which is less specific than the original method (Mauzerall and Granick, 1956), has probably incapacitated ALA-U somewhat.

The anaemia of lead poisoning is accepted as one of its main features (Griggs, 1964). The use of Hb determinations for evaluation of the early stages of lead poisoning in industrial hygiene has been a matter of some controversy. Malcolm (1965) does not consider the appearance of anaemia as being an early sign, and Williams (1966) was unable to find any change in the Hb until the Pb-B exceeded 110 μg/100 ml. Against this, Müller and Holzappel (1969) are of the opinion that a fall in Hb precedes symptoms of lead poisoning in such a way that this test would be useful for preventive purposes.

The results obtained in this investigation (Table 1) indicate that a fall in Hb is indeed an early lead effect, becoming evident in groups of workers within a period of two to three months. It is probable that most of the difficulties encountered in demonstrating the effects of lead upon the haemoglobin values originate in the relatively wide range of normal variation. An Hb value of 14 g/100 ml in one individual is as normal as that of 16 g/100 ml in another. The results obtained consequently support the opinion of Holmqvist (1962) and Zielhuis (1971), that the essential point, if Hb estimations are used for preventive purposes and not for the diagnosis of lead poisoning, is observation of the differences in serial estimations. A decreasing Hb value is an important indication of lead effect, provided that other causes of developing anaemia can be excluded.
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