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A clinical trial of oral calcium disodium versenate (E.D.T.A.) in eight lead workers is described. A short course of versenate given while the men continued at work produced no side-effects. Complete overhaul of the processes and protective devices was made in the factory. Clinical, biochemical, and haematological improvement followed the removal of up to 250 mg. lead in each case. The mode of action and possible uses and abuses of oral versenate are discussed.

Despite the advances in the design of factories working with lead and the installation of protective devices, lead intoxication is still prevalent. Treatment of this condition has been considerably improved by the use of the calcium disodium salt of ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (E.D.T.A.) (Bessman, Ried, and Rubin, 1952).

This compound has the property of binding lead in a non-ionic form which is rapidly excreted in the urine. In this country reports have appeared on its intravenous use (Giles, Moore, and Still, 1955; Markus and Spencer, 1955; Travers, Rendle-Short, and Harvey, 1956; Leckie and Tomsett, 1958) and on its intramuscular use (Shrand, 1961).

Small factories working with lead compounds often have inadequate protective devices for their workers or minimal medical supervision. This can lead either to cases of frank lead poisoning or to excessive lead absorption by the men working with the compounds. Two small factories in this area were found to have inadequate safety precautions after several cases of lead poisoning had occurred among their workers. These cases were treated with calcium disodium ethylene diamine tetra-acetate (calcium disodium versenate) intravenously. All made good recoveries after the removal of large amounts of lead. Complete overhaul of the processes and protective devices was made in the factory.

In addition to the more severely affected men several others were found to have absorbed excessive amounts of lead to such a degree as to require treatment. As conditions at the factory were so rapidly improving and the men did not wish to stay off work, mainly for economic reasons, oral calcium disodium versenate was used. The men were allowed to remain at the factory, under close medical supervision, working at the less hazardous processes.

Methods of Investigation

The assessment of the degree of lead absorption by lead workers was made on the results of haemoglobin, stippled cell, and reticulocyte estimations, the amount of lead in the urine and in the blood, the amount of coproporphyrin in the urine, and the presence of symptoms referable to lead. Haemoglobin was estimated by the alkaline haematin method and reticulocytes by Dacie's (1956) method. The method for determination of lead was essentially the same as that employed by King and Thompson (1961) which depends on the colour reaction with dithizone in a potassium cyanide-ammonium citrate buffer after preliminary wet oxidation of the urine with nitric and perchloric acids. Normal values are 40 to 50 μg. lead per litre of urine. Using special low-in-lead reagents, very low blank levels were obtained. Coproporphyrin was estimated and punctuate basophils counted by the methods described by Lane (1949). Eight men showing an excessive degree of lead absorption were given a seven-day
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course of oral calcium disodium versenate, 4 g. a day in divided doses. During treatment 24-hour samples of urine were collected each day for total lead determination and a semi-quantitative coproporphyrin test. In three men all specimens of urine passed on the first day of treatment were collected separately and analysed for lead content to see how quickly the versenate acted. In three men collections were continued for four days after treatment had been stopped to see for how long the action of versenate persisted. All the men except Case 3, who showed evidence of acute poisoning, continued at work during the treatment.

Case Reports

Case 1.—E.T.B., aged 40, worked as a wet mixer of lead paste for one year. His tests showed haemoglobin 12·0 g./100 ml. (81% Haldane), stippled cells 3,100/million red blood cells (R.B.C.), blood lead 130 µg./100 ml., coproporphyrin 4+, urine lead 300 µg./litre. One week after the course of versenate he showed haemoglobin 13·8 g./100 ml. (92%), stippled cells 2,500/million R.B.C., blood lead 140 µg./100 ml., urine lead 180 µg./litre, coproporphyrin 1+. During the course of versenate he excreted 15·1 mg. lead.

Case 2.—R.J.R. was a 60-year-old maintenance engineer who had been working in the lead works for eight years. A month before being seen he had overhauled the flues through which the lead dust was evacuated. He was complaining of weakness and tiredness. Haemoglobin 14·7 g. (99%), stippled cells 3,900/million R.B.C., urine coproporphyrin 4+, blood lead 130 µg./100 ml., urine lead 240 µg./litre. During a course of oral versenate he excreted 14·1 mg. lead. A week later he showed haemoglobin 14·8 g. (100%), stippled cells 1,600/million R.B.C., urine lead 180 µg./litre, coproporphyrin trace, blood lead 90 µg./100 ml.

Case 3.—A.B. was a 34-year-old bachelor of rather untidy habits who had been working with lead compounds for three months. He was feeling tired and was rather unsteady on his feet. He had multiple neurofibromatosis. Laboratory tests showed haemoglobin 8·3 g./100 ml. (56%), reticulocytes 3-0%, stippled cells 17,700/million R.B.C., urine lead 940 µg./litre, blood lead 140 µg./100 ml., coproporphyrin 4+. The blood film showed numerous stippled cells, many of which were shrunken and poikilocytoic. There were occasional normoblasts. Bilirubin 0·9 mg./100 ml.

He was treated with oral versenate in hospital and excreted 23·8 mg. of lead in seven days. Coproporphyrin was still high. His blood count at this time showed a great increase in stippled cells (56,000) and reticulocytes (9·4%); haemoglobin was 9·2 g./100 ml. (62%). He could not be detained in hospital any longer and remained at home for two weeks. At the end of this time his urine lead was averaging 400 µg./litre and coproporphyrin 4+, and he re-entered hospital for a course of intravenous versenate. His haemoglobin was 11·5 g./100 ml. (78%).

He received 2 g. versenate intravenously each day for five days in 500 ml. of saline.

He excreted 25·4 mg. of lead in six days. One week later his assessment showed haemoglobin 14·8 g./100 ml. (105%), stippled cells 1,800/million R.B.C., blood lead 170 µg./100 ml., urine lead 540 µg./litre, coproporphyrin 4+. He excreted 11·9 mg. lead during his seven-day course of treatment. Later he showed haemoglobin 16·5 g./100 ml. (112%), reticulocytes 2-6%, stippled cells 2,100/million R.B.C., urine lead 220 µg./litre, blood lead 120 µg./100 ml., coproporphyrin trace.

Case 4.—T.G., a 40-year-old Pole, worked in various lead works for 12 years with no complaint. Haemoglobin 15·5 g./100 ml. (105%), stippled cells 1,800/million R.B.C., blood lead 170 µg./100 ml., urine lead 540 µg./litre, coproporphyrin 4+. He excreted 11·9 mg. lead during his seven-day course of treatment. Later he showed haemoglobin 16·5 g./100 ml. (112%), reticulocytes 2-6%, stippled cells 2,100/million R.B.C., urine lead 220 µg./litre, blood lead 120 µg./100 ml., coproporphyrin trace.

Case 5.—A.G.C., a 38-year-old man, was one of three brothers working with lead compounds. The other two had been treated six months previously with intravenous versenate for lead poisoning. A.G.C. presented with weakness and constipation. His haemoglobin was 14·8 g./100 ml. (100%), reticulocytes 2-3%, urine lead 140 µg./litre, and his urine contained a small amount of coproporphyrin. He received 4 g. versenate daily for one week and excreted 8 mg. of lead in his urine during this time. There was marked improvement in symptoms. Urine lead fell to 70 µg./litre; haemoglobin remained the same. Reticulocytes were 1-7%. He continued at work, but three months later his haemoglobin was 13·6 g./100 ml., reticulocytes 3-4%, stippled cells 10,000/million R.B.C., urine lead 210 µg./litre, blood lead 120 µg./litre, coproporphyrin 1+. During a repeated course of versenate (present series) he excreted 12·6 mg. lead, and the haemoglobin rose to 14·5 g./100 ml. (98%), reticulocytes 2-5%, stippled cells 13,200/million R.B.C., blood lead 90 µg./100 ml., urine lead 120 µg./litre, coproporphyrin 1+.

Cases 6, 7, and 8.—These three cases worked in a small accumulator factory. E.A.K., age 59, for one and a half years, W.F., age 57, for nine years, and E.C.B., age 55, for three months. E.A.K. had episodes of constipation; the others were symptom-free. Laboratory findings were as follows:

Case 6.—E.A.K., haemoglobin 12·8 g./100 ml. (87%), stippled cells 12,000/million R.B.C., urine lead 240 µg./litre, blood lead 130 µg./100 ml., coproporphyrin 1+. Oral versenate for seven days, lead excretion 15·7 mg. Later haemoglobin 13·9 g./100 ml. (94%), stippled cells 9,100/million R.B.C., urine lead 240 µg./litre, blood lead 120 µg./100 ml., coproporphyrin trace.

Case 7.—W.F., haemoglobin 10·6 g./100 ml. (72%), stippled cells 5,000/million R.B.C., urine lead 600 µg./litre, coproporphyrin 1+, blood lead 1·0 µg./100 ml. On oral versenate treatment seven-day lead excretion 13·7 mg. Later haemoglobin 12·3 g./100 ml. (83%), stippled cells 4,600/million R.B.C., urine lead 240 µg./litre, coproporphyrin trace, blood lead 80 µg./100 ml.

Case 8.—E.C.B., haemoglobin 12·6 g./100 ml. (85%), stippled cells 4,200/million R.B.C., urine lead 300 µg./litre,
copperporphyrin 4+, blood lead 110 µg./100 ml. On treatment with oral versenate, seven-day lead excretion was 7.6 mg. Later haemoglobin 12.4 g./100 ml. (84%), stippled cells 5,800/million R.B.C., urine lead 100 µg./litre, copperporphyrin nil, blood lead 1.1 µg./100 ml.

Results

Oral versenate caused lead to be rapidly excreted in the urine. The lead content of the urine increased 500% within five hours (Fig. 1). The daily excretion of lead rose to a maximum on the second day in five cases, the third day in one, and the fourth day in two. The concentration of lead in the urine rose to five to 11 times the base-line concentration. In two of the three men in whom extended collections of urine were made the output of lead continued at a decreasing rate up to the third post-treatment day, when the levels were 350 and 200 µg./day respectively. In the third man the excretion rate was still high at 1,066 µg. (880 µg./litre) on the eleventh day but by the twentieth day it had fallen to 180 µg./litre (Fig. 2). The total lead excretion during the seven days of treatment varied from 7.6 to 23.8 mg., averaging 14.32 mg. lead per man.

Seven of the eight men showed a rise in haemoglobin level averaging 1.0 g. % (range 0.1 to 1.8 g.), and one showed a fall of 0.2 g. %.

In four the stippled cell count was lower at the end of treatment, but in the others it was higher. The urine lead concentration was lower after treatment than before in seven out of the eight men. Coproporphyrin levels tended to rise early in treatment, while large amounts of lead were being excreted, but fell rapidly later. Blood lead levels fell in five patients, remained the same in one, and rose in one.

Symptomatically the improvement was marked. One patient (Case 4) who felt "fine" before treatment felt "fine" after it. All the others volunteered feeling
far more energetic, less tired, and having freer bowel actions. Case 3 had slight vomiting and diarrhoea after leaving hospital but the others reported no side-effects.

In Fig. 3 we have expressed graphically the improvements in the patients after treatment with oral versenate. King and Thompson (1961) when measuring the amount of lead absorption in car workers, gave the result of each test a number of points depending on its deviation from the normal. A normal result scored no points, a minor abnormality one, a gross abnormality four, and intermediate grades two or three points. The criteria they used are reproduced in the Table, and although the stippled cell and blood lead levels they used are rather low, we have adopted the same criteria to assess our results. Seven of the men showed a fall in the number of points they scored before and after treatment, the average fall being six points. Only in Case 3 was the same number of points scored before and after the course of treatment.

Discussion

We have confirmed that versenate taken orally causes a rapid rise in urinary lead excretion, maintains the excretion of lead, and that the effect persists in some cases for several days after treatment finishes. It also has a beneficial effect on the patient and his disease.

Previous reports on lead excretion in the urine after oral versenate follow a similar but not so uniform a pattern as in our cases. Sidbury, Bynum, and Fetz (1953) obtained increased excretions in three out of four patients, Bradley and Powell (1954) in three out of five. Bell, Gilliland, Boland, and Sullivan (1956) obtained excretion levels up to 4 mg. a day in three cases, one of whom had already received intravenous therapy with versenate. Shiels, Thomas, and Kearley (1956) in a careful study on six hospital patients obtained rises in levels up to 20 times those obtained before treatment.

The improvement in well-being of men on oral E.D.T.A. at work was shown by Manville and Moser (1955) and seven men treated for three weeks were freed of coproporphyrinuria. Cotter (1954) also recorded improvement.

One question mentioned in almost all previous papers on the use of oral versenate is the possibility of the versenate combining with lead in the lumen of the bowel with subsequent absorption and excretion into the urine. In other words, is the versenate really removing lead from the tissues or is it merely altering the route of excretion? The evidence for the latter view comes from animal studies.

Most of the lead excreted from lead-poisoned sheep is via the bile-ducts into the intestine, and only 20% of the excretion is via the urinary tract (Blaxter and Cowie, 1946). In lead-poisoned rabbits oral versenate shifts the excretion of lead from the faeces to the urine (Rieders, 1954). Furthermore Mosey et al. (Cited by Sidbury et al., 1953) have shown that the lead chelate of E.D.T.A. is rapidly absorbed from the upper gastro-intestinal tract.

In humans, however, a rise in faecal lead on oral versenate has been reported by Bell et al. (1956) and by Shiels et al. (1956), both working with hospital patients who were removed from any opportunity of swallowing or inhaling more lead. Bell also reports a rapid fall in faecal lead on intravenous versenate due, no doubt, to the rapid excretion of E.D.T.A. into the urine carrying with it chelated lead and by-passing the biliary tract.

Cases 6, 7, and 8 show that it is not recently ingested lead that combines with versenate in the gastro-intestinal tract to be absorbed and then re-excreted in the urine. Treatment was started on a Saturday and the men returned to work on a Monday. The peak of excretion in all cases was on the Sunday and there was no significant rise when they returned to work. The excretion rates continued to fall, presumably in parallel with the available stores of lead in the tissues.

Oral versenate is rather poorly absorbed from the gastro-intestinal tract. Foreman and Trujillo (1954) who gave C14 labelled E.D.T.A. orally to volunteers, found only about 5% of the total dose in the urine. However, it is probable that enough is absorbed to be effective because Pagnotto, Elkins, and Bayka (1958) showed that after oral administration in normal subjects free versenate was present in the urine in sufficient concentration for each litre of
urine to bind 7 mg. lead in vitro. Leckie and Tompsett (1958) obtained high excretions of lead when using only 50 mg. of versenate intravenously, one case excreting 1,430 \( \mu \)g. lead in the 48 hours following a single dose.

Whatever the exact mechanism is, the undoubted improvement of the patient suggests that the net result is a removal of lead from the tissues.

Kehoe (1955) drew attention to the possible abuses of oral versenate and expressed fears lest the tablets were used to mask deficiencies in the protective equipment and the industrial processes. A simple prophylactic against lead poisoning has a great attraction for manufacturers when set against the often large outlay involved in installing protective devices, but it seems quite unjustifiable to expose men to the known hazards of lead compounds and at the same time to expose them to the yet unknown hazards of prolonged versenate therapy. For this reason we feel that the use of oral versenate should be limited. We have used it to treat cases of mild lead poisoning only when the processes at which the poisoning occurred have been modified or the men have been moved to a less hazardous process. When used in this way we have found it to be very effective in promoting lead excretion with the minimum of disturbance to the patient.

The output of lead in the urine following the intravenous use of versenate has been used in diagnosing excessive lead absorption (Leckie and Tompsett, 1958). It is possible that oral versenate may have a similar diagnostic use.

Oral versenate appears to be a useful supplementary measure in the medical supervision of people who run the risk of absorbing lead.

We are indebted to Dr. A. R. Thompson and Mr. A. H. Waldron of Vauxhall Motors, Luton, for the blood lead determinations. We wish to thank Dr. P. J. R. Challen of the Occupational Hygiene Service, Slough, and Dr. J. H. Harrison who referred the cases to us, and Dr. A. R. Kelsall under whose care Case 3 was admitted.
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