

assessment based on such data is a major source of bias in the risk estimation.

**Methods** A validation study was conducted based on a case-control study including 94 acoustic neuroma cases and 191 matched controls from the German Interphone-Study to investigate the level of agreement between self-reported occupational noise exposure and a job-exposure-matrix (JEM) on noise exposure derived from a lifetime occupation calendar. The JEM was generated based on measurement data collected in German and Swiss literature for various occupations. Level of agreement was investigated by using sensitivity, specificity,  $\kappa$  coefficient and the Youden Index.

**Results** As a result of a receiver operating characteristics analysis we dichotomise noise exposure at 80 decibel(Acoustic) (dB(A)), displaying a moderate agreement between self-reported exposure and the JEM-based exposure ( $\kappa$  of 0.53) that was slightly higher for cases than controls ( $\kappa$  of 0.62 and 0.48). The agreement was best for the loudest job reported and slightly lower for the longest held job or the last held job of the lifetime job history.

**Conclusions** The cut point of 80 dB(A) corresponds with EU-regulations for workers. The levels of agreement between self-reported high occupational noise exposure compared with JEM-data, together with no substantial differences between cases and controls, suggest that self-reported data on occupational noise exposure is a valid exposure metric. Noise exposure appears to be appropriate if only exposure information on last or longest held job is available.

137

### VALIDITY OF SELF-REPORTED OCCUPATIONAL NOISE EXPOSURE

Klaus Schlaefer,<sup>1</sup> Brigitte Schlehofer,<sup>1</sup> Joachim Schüz<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>DFKZ, Heidelberg, Germany; <sup>2</sup>IARC, Lyon, France

10.1136/oemed-2011-100382.137

**Objectives** In epidemiological studies the validity of self-reported occupational data is an important issue as the exposure