

Respiratory function

# Winter air pollution and respiratory function

M Lippmann

It is neither easy nor inexpensive to find significant air pollution health effects in contemporary populations in economically advanced countries with relatively clean air

There has been growing concern about the effects of community air pollution on children for several reasons. These include:

- (1) A rapidly growing and generally coherent body of epidemiological studies showing statistically significant (albeit small) increases in relative risk for particulate matter (PM) associated mortality and morbidity, as well as relatively large ozone associated functional decrements.
- (2) A rapidly growing prevalence of asthma and wheeze among children, and a recognition that such children are at greater risk for air pollution related health effects than other children.
- (3) A recognition that children receive greater lung doses of airborne pollutants than adults because they spend more of their time in vigorous activity out of doors and breathe air more deeply into their smaller lungs.

In the paper entitled "Acute effects of winter air pollution on respiratory function in schoolchildren in southern England" by Peacock *et al* in this issue,<sup>1</sup> the authors extended their earlier investigation of the effects of summertime air pollutant exposure in children from the same area in southern England.<sup>2</sup>

In their previous study of summertime exposures, the authors reported very small, but statistically significant negative associations between the mass concentration of PM less than 10 µm in aerodynamic diameter (PM<sub>10</sub>), and forced expiratory volume in 0.75 seconds (FEV<sub>0.75</sub>) and forced vital capacity (FVC),

but no evident associations with ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) or nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>). For the wintertime study described in this issue, they relied on peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) measured with mini-Wright meters provided for each child rather than on FEV<sub>0.75</sub> and FVC measured by spirometry, and reported no clear PEFR associations with any of the measured air pollutants (PM<sub>10</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>). They did, however, note that PM<sub>10</sub> was associated with decrements in PEFR greater than 20% in children with wheeze.

The use of the generally less precise measure of PEFR rather than spirometry determined FEV<sub>0.75</sub> was probably not a reason for the failure to find much in the way of significant association between ambient pollution and lung function. The authors themselves pointed out that they had achieved a lower coefficient of variation for their PEFR measurements (16%) in the wintertime study<sup>1</sup> than they had achieved in their summertime spirometric measurements (21%).<sup>2</sup> Lippmann and Spektor<sup>3</sup> compared mini-Wright PEFR with spirometric PEFR measurements in children demonstrating O<sub>3</sub> associated PEFR decrements, and reported close agreement among the two independent measurements.

The more likely reasons for the paucity of significant findings in this wintertime study include:

- (1) The very low pollutant concentrations. The 90th centile values were only about 33 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for 24 hour average PM<sub>10</sub>, 35 ppb for 8 hour O<sub>3</sub>, 29 ppb for 24 hour NO<sub>2</sub>, and 11 ppb for 24 hour SO<sub>2</sub>.

- (2) A presumptively smaller amount of outdoor time and activity level due to the lower temperatures and many fewer wintertime daily hours of sunlight in southern England.

The observation of an association between a significant drop in PEFR and PM<sub>10</sub> in children with wheeze is about all that could have been expected given the study design, the size and nature of the population being studied, and the levels of ambient air pollution.

One message to take away from this study is that finding significant air pollution health effects in contemporary populations in economically advanced countries with relatively clean air is not easy or inexpensive. In the Peacock *et al* wintertime study,<sup>1</sup> with an experienced team, state of the art air monitoring employed on a daily basis, and with highly motivated student participants, school personal, and scientific staff, it was still not possible to come up with very definitive associations. Future investigations should be based on targets of opportunity with circumstances more favourable to the establishment of significant exposure-response relations.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Dr Lippmann's research is supported by the Particulate Matter Health Effects Research Center supported by the US Environmental Protection Agency (Grant No. R 827351), and by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences Center Grant (NIEHS), NIH (Grant No. ES-00260).

*Occup Environ Med* 2003;**60**:81

## Author's affiliation

M Lippmann, New York University School of Medicine, Tuxedo, New York, USA

Correspondence to: Dr M Lippmann, Professor of Environmental Medicine, New York University School of Medicine, Tuxedo, NY 10987, USA; lippmann@env.med.nyu.edu

## REFERENCES

- 1 Peacock JL, Symonds P, Jackson P, *et al*. Acute effects of winter air pollution on respiratory function in schoolchildren in southern England. *Occup Environ Med* 2003;**60**:82-9.
- 2 Scarlett JF, Abot KJ, Peacock JL, *et al*. Acute effects of summer air pollution on respiratory function in primary school children in southern England. *Thorax* 1996;**51**:1109-14.
- 3 Lippmann M, Spektor DM. Peak flow rate changes in O<sub>3</sub>-exposed children: spirometry vs. miniWright flow meters. *J Expos Anal Environ Epidemiol* 1998;**8**:101-7.



## Winter air pollution and respiratory function

M Lippmann

*Occup Environ Med* 2003 60: 81  
doi: 10.1136/oem.60.2.81

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://oem.bmj.com/content/60/2/81.full.html>

---

*These include:*

**References**

This article cites 3 articles, 2 of which can be accessed free at:  
<http://oem.bmj.com/content/60/2/81.full.html#ref-list-1>

**Email alerting  
service**

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

---

**Notes**

---

To request permissions go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:  
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>